arXiv:math/0210276v1 [math.GR] 17 Oct 2002

EMBEDDING FREE BURNSIDE GROUPS IN FINITELY PRESENTED GROUPS

S.V. IVANOV

Abstract. We construct an embedding of a free Burnside group $B(m,n)$ of odd $n > 2^{48}$ and rank $m > 1$ in a finitely presented group with some special properties. The main application of this embedding is an easy construction of finitely presented non-amenable groups without noncyclic free subgroups (which provides a finitely presented counterexample to the von Neumann problem on amenable groups). As another application, we construct weakly finitely presented groups of odd exponent $n \gg 1$ which are not locally finite.

1. Introduction

The class of amenable groups was introduced by von Neumann [N29] to study so-called Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski paradox [Hs14], [BT24] (see also [OS01]). There are several equivalent definitions of group amenability. For example, a group G is called *amenable* (or measurable) if there is a measure μ on G (that is, μ is a nonnegative real function on subsets of G) such that $\mu(X \cup Y) = \mu(X) + \mu(Y)$ whenever X, Y are disjoint subsets of G, $\mu(G) = 1$ and $\mu(gX) = \mu(X)$ for all $g \in G$, $X \subseteq G$. Clearly, finite groups are amenable. von Neumann [N29] showed that the class of amenable groups contains abelian groups, contains no free group F_2 of rank 2, and is closed under operations of taking subgroups, extensions, and (infinite) ascending unions. Day [D57] and Specht [S60] proved that this class is closed under taking homomorphic images.

The problem, attributed to von Neumann, on the existence of non-amenable groups without noncyclic free subgroups was solved in the affirmative by Ol'shanskii [O80]. Recall that the alternative "either amenable or contains F_2", suggested by the above von Neumann results, was proved by Tits [T72] for linear groups over a field of characteristic 0. Adian [A82] showed that a free m-generator Burnside group $B(m,n)$ of odd exponent $n \geq 665$ is not amenable if $m > 1$ (recall that $B(m,n)$ is the quotient F_m/F_m^n, where F_m is a free group of rank m; in particular, $B(m,n)$ contains no free subgroups of rank ≥ 1).

However, both Ol'shanskii's and Adian's examples of non-amenable groups are not finitely presentable and, in this connection, a "finitely presented" version of the von Neumann problem (on the existence of finitely presented non-amenable groups without noncyclic free subgroups) was promptly stated by Cohen [C82] and Grigorchuk [KN82, Problem 8.7]. To solve this problem, Ol'shanskii and Sapir [OS02] (see also [OS01]) constructed a finitely presented group $\mathcal{G}_{OS}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{OS}$ is an extension of a group of odd exponent $n \gg 1$ by an infinite cyclic group (so

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E06, 20F05, 20F06, 20F50; Secondary 43A07, 20F38.

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS 00-99612.

$\mathcal{G}_{OS}$ has no noncyclic free subgroups) and $\mathcal{G}_{OS}$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to a free 2-generator Burnside group $B(2, n)$ of exponent n (and so, by Adian's result [A82], $\mathcal{G}_{OS}$ is not amenable). The construction of the group $\mathcal{G}_{OS}$ is rather involved and lengthy; it is based upon a modification of Ol'shanskii's method of graded diagrams [O89] and uses S-machines introduced by Sapir [SBR97]. In this regard, recall that the first example of a finitely presented torsion-by-cyclic group $\mathcal{G}_G$ was constructed by Grigorchuk [G98]. However, the group $\mathcal{G}_G$ is amenable (but not elementary amenable). The main goal of this article is to use the techniques of HNN-extensions in the class of groups of odd exponent $n \gg 1$, as developed in [I02b], to give a significantly simpler, compared to [OS02], construction of finitely presented non-amenable groups without noncyclic free subgroups. Similar to examples of Grigorchuk and Ol'shanskii-Sapir, our finitely presented groups are also torsion-by-cyclic (which guarantees the absence of noncyclic free subgroups).

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is called a *Q-subgroup* if for every normal subgroup K of H the normal closure $\langle K\rangle^G$ of K in G meets H in K (this property was introduced by Higman, B. Neumann, and H. Neumann [HNN49] to show that every countable group embeds in a 2-generator group). Here is our main technical result.

Theorem 1. *Let $n > 2^{48}$ be odd, $m > 1$, and let $\mathcal{G}_U = \langle \mathcal{U} \parallel (3) - (9)\rangle$ be the finitely presented group whose generating set is the alphabet $\mathcal{U}$, given by* (1)*, and whose defining relations are* $(3) - (9)$*. Then the subgroup $\mathcal{G}_A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m\rangle$ of $\mathcal{G}_U$, generated by the images of $a_1, \dots, a_m$, is a Q-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_U$ naturally isomorphic to a free m-generator Burnside group $B(m, n)$ of exponent n. Furthermore, the group $\mathcal{G}_A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m\rangle$ naturally embeds in the quotient $\mathcal{G}_U/\mathcal{G}_U^n$ as a Q-subgroup.*

Recall that, by the Higman embedding theorem [H61], a finitely generated group G embeds in a finitely presented group if and only if G can be recursively presented. This, in particular, means that a free m-generator Burnside group $B(m, n)$ of exponent n, being recursively presented for all m, n, embeds in a finitely generated group and the significance of Theorem 1 is in the additional properties of this embedding.

As a corollary of Theorem 1 and results of article [I02c] on Q-subgroups of $B(m, n)$, we have the following theorem that strengthens the main result of [OS02].

Theorem 2. *Let $n > 10^{78}$ be odd and the finitely presented group $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ be defined by presentation* (31)*. Then $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ is an extension of a group $\mathcal{K}_{T2}$ of exponent n by an infinite cyclic group and $\mathcal{K}_{T2}$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to a free 2-generator Burnside group $B(2, n)$ of exponent n. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ is not amenable and contains no noncyclic free subgroups. In addition, one can assume that if $\mathcal{V}(B(2, n))$ is a given nontrivial verbal subgroup of $B(2, n)$ then $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{K}_{T2}) = \mathcal{K}_{T2}$.*

A group presentation $G = \langle \mathcal{C} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}\rangle$ is called *weakly finite* (and the group G is termed *weakly finitely presented*) if every finitely generated subgroup H of G, generated by the images of some words in $\mathcal{C}^{\pm 1}$, is naturally isomorphic to the subgroup of a group $\mathcal{G}_0 = \langle \mathcal{C}_0 \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_0\rangle$, where $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ are finite subsets depending upon H, generated by the images of the same words. For example, if the alphabet $\mathcal{C}$ is finite then $G = \langle \mathcal{C} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}\rangle$ is weakly finite if and only if there is a finite subset $\mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\langle \mathcal{R}_1\rangle^{F(\mathcal{C})} = \langle \mathcal{R}\rangle^{F(\mathcal{C})}$, where $F(\mathcal{C})$ is the free group in the alphabet $\mathcal{C}$ and $\langle \mathcal{R}_1\rangle^{F(\mathcal{C})}$, $\langle \mathcal{R}\rangle^{F(\mathcal{C})}$ are normal closures in $F(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{R}_1$, $\mathcal{R}$, respectively. It is also easy to see that a group presentation $G = \langle \mathcal{C} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}\rangle$ is weakly finite if every finitely generated subgroup of G is finitely presentable (i.e., has a finite presentation; however, the converse does not hold as can be seen from Theorem 3). In particular, if G is a locally finite group then G is weakly finitely presented. It is rather natural to ask whether there are periodic weakly finitely presented groups that are not locally finite. This problem can be regarded as a weakened version of a long-standing problem, attributed to P.S. Novikov, on the existence of finitely presented infinite periodic groups.

The article [I02a] presents a construction of weakly finitely presented periodic groups which are not locally finite thus making a progress towards the Novikov problem. However, the construction of [I02a] does not allow to have orders of elements of the group bounded and a construction of weakly finitely presented groups of bounded exponent that are not locally finite seems to be the next reasonable goal. We also remark that the construction of [I02a] is based upon a technical embedding result which could be stated exactly as Theorem 2 with the modification that the quotient $\mathcal{G}_U/\mathcal{G}_U^n$ is $\mathcal{G}_U/\mathcal{G}_U^{n^3}$ in [I02a] (in [I02a] the group $\mathcal{G}_U$ is given by simpler than (3)–(9) relations and the estimate $n > 2^{48}$ is $n > 2^{16}$ in [I02a]). Thus, Theorem 1 strengthens the main construction of [I02a] and it can be used (in a similar to [I02a] fashion) to construct weakly finitely presented non-locally finite groups of bounded exponent $n \gg 1$ (the question on the existence of such groups was raised in [I02a]).

Theorem 3. *Let $n > 10^{78}$ be odd. Then there is a weakly finitely presented group $\mathcal{G}_{T3}$ of exponent n which contains a subgroup isomorphic to $B(2,n)$.*

2. Five Lemmas

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, $m > 1$, be an alphabet. We also consider alphabets

$$\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{B}} = \{\bar b_1, \dots, \bar b_m\}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}} = \{\bar d_1, \dots, \bar d_m\},$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{X}} = \{\bar x_1, \dots, \bar x_m\}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{Y}} = \{\bar y_1, \dots, \bar y_m\},$$

and $\{c, \bar c, e, \bar e, z\}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the union of all these pairwise disjoint alphabets

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{D} \cup \bar{\mathcal{D}} \cup \mathcal{X} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \cup \{c, \bar c, e, \bar e, z\}. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_A$ is a set of words in $\mathcal{A}^{\pm 1} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_A = \langle \mathcal{A} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_A \rangle \tag{2}$$

is a group presentation whose set of generators is $\mathcal{A}$ and whose set of defining words is $\mathcal{R}_A$. Consider the following defining relations in which $[x,y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ is the commutator of x, y and indices i, j run over $\{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$x_i c x_i^{-1} = c b_i, \quad \bar x_i \bar c \bar x_i^{-1} = \bar c \bar b_i, \quad y_i e y_i^{-1} = e d_i, \quad \bar y_i \bar e \bar y_i^{-1} = \bar e \bar d_i, \tag{3}$$

$$[x_i, b_j] = 1, \quad [\bar x_i, \bar b_j] = 1, \quad [y_i, d_j] = 1, \quad [\bar y_i, \bar d_j] = 1, \tag{4}$$

$$c^n = 1, \quad \bar c^n = 1, \quad e^n = 1, \quad \bar e^n = 1, \tag{5}$$

$$[b_i, \bar b_j] = 1, \quad [b_i, \bar c] = 1, \quad [\bar b_j, c] = 1, \quad [c, \bar c] = 1, \tag{6}$$

$$[d_i, \bar d_j] = 1, \quad [d_i, \bar e] = 1, \quad [\bar d_j, e] = 1, \quad [e, \bar e] = 1, \tag{7}$$

$$[a_i, d_j] = 1, \quad [a_i, \bar d_j] = 1, \quad [a_i, y_j] = 1, \quad [a_i, \bar y_j] = 1, \quad [a_i, e] = 1, \quad [a_i, \bar e] = 1, \tag{8}$$

$$z b_i \bar b_i z^{-1} = d_i \bar d_i a_i, \quad z c \bar c z^{-1} = e \bar e. \tag{9}$$

Less formally, these relations can be described as follows. First we introduce relations $x_i c x_i^{-1} = c b_i$, $[x_i, b_j] = 1$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $c^n = 1$ (which copy relations of [I02a]) on letters in $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{X} \cup \{c\}$. Then we consider "bar" copies of these letters and relations in $\bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}} \cup \{\bar{c}\}$ and make letters in $\mathcal{B} \cup \{c\}$ commute with letters in $\bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \{\bar{c}\}$. Then we introduce copies of all letters in $\mathcal{B} \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{X} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}} \cup \{c, \bar{c}\}$, denoted by $\mathcal{D} \cup \bar{\mathcal{D}} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \cup \{e, \bar{e}\}$ (so that $\mathcal{D}$ is a copy of $\mathcal{B}$, $\bar{Y}$ is a copy of $\bar{X}$ etc.), and make copies of already defined relations in $\mathcal{B} \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{X} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}} \cup \{c, \bar{c}\}$ to get their duplicates in $\mathcal{D} \cup \bar{\mathcal{D}} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \cup \{e, \bar{e}\}$. Finally, we define an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, make letters in $\mathcal{A}$ commute with letters in $\mathcal{D} \cup \bar{\mathcal{D}} \cup \mathcal{Y} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \cup \{e, \bar{e}\}$, and introduce one more letter z and $m+1$ more relations $z b_i \bar{b}_i z^{-1} = d_i \bar{d}_i a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $z c \bar{c} z^{-1} = d\bar{d}$.

Let a group $\mathcal{G}_U$ be given by a presentation whose alphabet is $\mathcal{U}$ and whose set of defining relations consists of defining relations of presentation (2) and relations (3)–(9), thus

$$\mathcal{G}_U = \langle \mathcal{U} \parallel R = 1,\ R \in \mathcal{R}_A,\ (3) - (9) \rangle. \tag{10}$$

Denote the free group in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ by $F(\mathcal{A})$ and let

$$\alpha_A : F(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{G}_A, \quad \alpha_U : F(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{G}_U$$

be natural homomorphisms.

A word W in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}$ is called an $\mathcal{A}$-*word* and denoted by $W = W(\mathcal{A}) = W(a_1, \dots, a_m)$. The substitution $a_i \to b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, turns an $\mathcal{A}$-word into a $\mathcal{B}$-word $W(\mathcal{B}) = W(b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Recall that if G is a group then $G^n = \langle g^n \mid g \in G \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by all nth powers of elements of G.

Lemma 1. *The map* $a_i^{\alpha_A} \to a_i^{\alpha_U}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, *extends to a homomorphism* $\psi_0 : \mathcal{G}_A \to \mathcal{G}_U$ *whose kernel* $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_0$ *is* $\mathcal{G}_A^n$.

Proof. This is analogous to the proof of Lemma A [I02a]. First we will show that $\mathcal{G}_A^n \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \psi_0$. Let $W = W(\mathcal{A})$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-word. According to relations (3)–(5), the following equalities hold in the group $\mathcal{G}_U$

$$1 \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (W(\mathcal{X}) c W(\mathcal{X})^{-1})^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (cW(\mathcal{B}))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (\bar{c} W(\bar{\mathcal{B}}))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (eW(\mathcal{D}))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (\bar{e} W(\bar{\mathcal{D}}))^n.$$

Hence, by relations (6), we get

$$1 \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (cW(\mathcal{B}))^n (\bar{c} W(\bar{\mathcal{B}}))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (c\bar{c} W(\mathcal{B}) W(\bar{\mathcal{B}}))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (c\bar{c} W(b_1 \bar{b}_1, \dots, b_m \bar{b}_m))^n.$$

Conjugating the last word by z, in view of relations (9), (8), (7) we further have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} z(c\bar{c} W(b_1 \bar{b}_1, \dots, b_m \bar{b}_m))^n z^{-1} \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (e\bar{e} W(d_1 \bar{d}_1 a_1, \dots, d_m \bar{d}_m a_m))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} \\ &\overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (e\bar{e} W(d_1 \bar{d}_1, \dots, d_m \bar{d}_m) W(a_1, \dots, a_m))^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (eW(\mathcal{D}) \bar{e} W(\bar{\mathcal{D}}))^n W(\mathcal{A})^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} \\ &\overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} (eW(\mathcal{D}))^n (\bar{e} W(\bar{\mathcal{D}}))^n W(\mathcal{A})^n \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} W(\mathcal{A})^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $W(\mathcal{A}) \overset{\mathcal{G}_U}{=} 1$ for every $\mathcal{A}$-word $W(\mathcal{A})$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{G}_A^n \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \psi_0$ is proven.

To prove the converse, consider a free Burnside group $B(\mathcal{B} \cup c, n)$ of exponent n which is freely generated by $\mathcal{B} \cup c$ ($B(\mathcal{B} \cup c, n)$ is the quotient $F(\mathcal{B} \cup c)/F(\mathcal{B} \cup c)^n$). A free Burnside group $B(\mathcal{X}, n)$ is defined analogously. It is easy to see that one can consider a semidirect product

$$S(\mathcal{B} \cup c \cup \mathcal{X}) = B(\mathcal{B} \cup c, n) \leftthreetimes B(\mathcal{X}, n)$$

so that $x_i b_j x_i^{-1} = b_j$, $x_i c x_i^{-1} = c b_i$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Semidirect products $S(\bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \bar{c} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}})$, $S(\mathcal{D} \cup e \cup \mathcal{Y})$, $S(\bar{\mathcal{D}} \cup \bar{e} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Y}})$ are defined analogously.

Consider the direct products

$$S(\mathcal{B} \cup c \cup \mathcal{X}) \times S(\bar{\mathcal{B}} \cup \bar{c} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}}), \quad S(\mathcal{D} \cup e \cup \mathcal{Y}) \times S(\bar{\mathcal{D}} \cup \bar{e} \cup \bar{\mathcal{Y}}) \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n \tag{11}$$

and observe that the map $b_i\bar{b}_i \to d_i\bar{d}_i a_i, \quad c\bar{c} \to e\bar{e}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$, extends to an isomorphism of corresponding subgroups of groups (11) (these subgroups are obviously isomorphic to $B(\mathcal{B} \cup c, n)$). Therefore, one can consider an HNN-extension H_z of the free product of groups (11) with stable letter z whose relations are $zb_i\bar{b}_i z^{-1} = d_i\bar{d}_i a_i, \ zc\bar{c}z^{-1} = e\bar{e}, \ i = 1, \dots, m$.

Now we observe that this HNN-extension H_z has generating set $\mathcal{U}$ and all relations (3)–(9) hold in these generators. This means that the natural homomorphism $F(\mathcal{U}) \to H_z$ naturally factors out through $\mathcal{G}_U$. Since the group $\mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n$ naturally embeds in H_z, the group $\mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n$ also embeds in $\mathcal{G}_U$ which implies the converse inclusion $\operatorname{Ker}\psi_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_A^n$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ker}\psi_0 = \mathcal{G}_A^n$ and Lemma 1 is proved. $\square$

Let P be a group of *exponent* n (that is, $p^n = 1$ for every $p \in P$) and let $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, \dots, t_\ell\}$ be an alphabet, $\ell \geq 1$. Let $P_{k,1}$, $P_{k,2}$ be two isomorphic subgroups of P, $k = 1, \dots, \ell$, and

$$\rho_k : P_{k,1} \to P_{k,2}$$

be a fixed isomorphism. Consider the following HNN-extension of P:

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \langle P, t_1, \dots, t_\ell \parallel t_k p t_k^{-1} = \rho_k(p), p \in P_{k,1}, k = 1, \dots, \ell \rangle. \tag{12}$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{H}_T$, $\mathcal{F}(A)$ denote the maximal subgroup of $P \subset \mathcal{H}_T$ that is normalized by A and τ_A be the automorphism of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ which is induced by conjugation by A. Consider the following property of $\mathcal{H}_T$ in which $N_E \geq 1$ is an integer parameter.

(E) For every $A \in \mathcal{H}_T$ which is not conjugate in the group $\mathcal{H}_T$ given by (12) to an element $p \in P$, the subgroup $\langle \tau_A, \mathcal{F}(A) \rangle$ has exponent n and equalities $A^{-k} p_0 A^k = p_k$, where $p_k \in P$ and $k = 0, \dots, N_E$, imply that $p_k \in \mathcal{F}(A)$.

It is proved in [I02b] that if $n > 2^{48}$ is odd and the property (E) holds for $\mathcal{H}_T$ with $N_E = [2^{-16}n]$, where $[2^{-16}n]$ is the integer part of $2^{-16}n$, then the group P naturally embeds in the quotient $\mathcal{H}_T/\mathcal{H}_T^n$. This result and the techniques used in its proof will play a central role in our subsequent arguments.

Let $B(\mathcal{B}, n)$, $B(\mathcal{X}, n)$ be free Burnside groups of exponent n in alphabets $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{X}$, respectively, and $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ be the direct product

$$\mathcal{P}_{B,X} = B(\mathcal{B}, n) \times B(\mathcal{X}, n).$$

Clearly, the map $x_i \to b_i x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$, extends to an isomorphism of corresponding subgroups of $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ and so we can introduce an HNN-extension of $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ with stable letter c:

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \langle \mathcal{P}_{B,X}, c \parallel c^{-1} x_i c = x_i b_i, i = 1, \dots, m \rangle. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 2. *The group $\mathcal{H}_c$, given by presentation* (13)*, has property* (*E*) *with* $N_E = 3$.

Proof. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{H}_c$, A is not conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_c$ to $p \in \mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ and $A^{-1} p_{j-1} A = p_j$, where $p_{j-1}, p_j \in \mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$, $p_0 \neq 1$. Our goal is to show that $p_0 \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and the subgroup $\langle \tau_A, \mathcal{F}(A) \rangle$ has exponent n.

We will keep the terminology and notation of article [I02b] (which is based upon [I94], see also [I92b], [I98], [I02a]).

Without loss of generality, we can assume that if $A = B$ in $\mathcal{H}_c$ then $|A|_c \leq |B|_c$, where $|A|_c$ is the number of occurrences of $c^{\pm 1}$ in A, called the *c-length* of the word

A. Conjugating by an element of $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ if necessary, we can also assume that A starts with $c^{\pm 1}$.

Consider reduced diagrams $\Delta(j)$, $j=1,2,3$, over $\mathcal{H}_c$ such that

$$\partial\Delta(j) = \ell(j)u(j)r(j)d(j),$$

where $\varphi(\ell(j)) = p_{j-1}$, $\varphi(r(j))^{-1} = p_j$, $\varphi(u(j)) = \varphi(d(j))^{-1} = A$, and $j=1,2,3$. Since $|\ell(j)|_c = |r(j)|_c = 0$ and $|A|_c$ is minimal, it follows that every c-bond in $\Delta(j)$ connects an edge on $u(j)$ to an edge on $d(j)$, $j=1,2,3$. Let

$$\mathrm{E}_1(j), \dots, \mathrm{E}_{|A|_c}(j)$$

be all consecutive along $u(j)$ c-bonds and let

$$\partial\mathrm{E}_i(j) = \ell_i(j)u_i(j)r_i(j)d_i(j)$$

be the standard contour of $\mathrm{E}_i(j)$, where $u_i(j) = \partial\mathrm{E}_i(j) \wedge u(j)$, $d_i(j) = \partial\mathrm{E}_i(j) \wedge d(j)$ are the edges of $u(j)$, $d(j)$ labelled by $c^{\pm 1}$, $c^{\mp 1}$, respectively, and

$$u(j) = u_1(j)v_1(j)u_2(j)v_2(j)\dots u_{|A|_c}(j)v_{|A|_c}(j),$$
$$d(j)^{-1} = d_1(j)^{-1}e_1(j)^{-1}d_2(j)^{-1}e_2(j)^{-1}\dots d_{|A|_c}(j)^{-1}e_{|A|_c}(j)^{-1},$$

where $v_i(j)$ (resp. $e_i(j)^{-1}$) is a subpath of $u(j)$ (resp. $d(j)^{-1}$) which sits between sections $u_i(j)$ and $u_{i+1}(j)$ of $u(j)$ (resp. sections $d_i(j)^{-1}$ and $d_{i+1}(j)^{-1}$ of $d(j)^{-1}$), $i = 1, \dots, |A|_c - 1$, $j = 1,2,3$.

By $\Delta_i(j)$, $i = 1, \dots, |A|_c$, denote the subdiagram of $\Delta(j)$ which sits between c-bonds $\mathrm{E}_i(j)$ and $\mathrm{E}_{i+1}(j)$, where $\mathrm{E}_{i+1}(j) = r(j)$ if $i = |A|_c$. Clearly, $|\partial\Delta_i(j)|_c = 0$, $\Delta_i(j)$ contains no c-edges and

$$\partial\Delta_i(j) = r_i(j)^{-1}v_i(j)\ell_{i+1}(j)^{-1}e_i(j),$$

where $i = 1, \dots, |A|_c$ and $\ell_{i+1}(j)^{-1} = r(j)$ if $i = |A|_c$.

Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, |A|_c - 1\}$ it is true that

$$\varphi(u_i(1)) = \varphi(u_{i+1}(1)). \tag{14}$$

Then, considering the diagram $\Delta_i(1)$, we can see that elements $\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}$ and $\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1))$ are conjugate in the group $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ by $\varphi(v_i(j))^{-1}$. It follows from definitions and equality (14) that one of $r_i(1)^{-1}$, $\ell_{i+1}(1)$ is a word in $\mathcal{X}^{\pm 1} = \{x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}\}$ and the other one is a word in the alphabet $\{(b_1x_1)^{\pm 1}, \dots, (b_mx_m)^{\pm 1}\}$. However, the conjugacy of such words in the direct product $\mathcal{P}_{B,X} = B(\mathcal{B}, n) \times B(\mathcal{X}, n)$ implies that $\varphi(r_i(1)) = 1$ whence $p_0 = 1$. This contradiction to $p_0 \neq 1$ enables us to conclude that $\varphi(u_i(1)) = \varphi(u_{i+1}(1))^{-1}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, |A|_c - 1$.

Switching to the diagram $\Delta_{|A|_c}(1)$ from $\Delta_i(1)$, by a similar argument we can conclude that $\varphi(u_{|A|_c}(1)) = \varphi(u_1(1))^{-1}$. In particular, this implies that $|A|_c > 1$ and there is an $i \in \{1, \dots, |A|_c\}$ such that

$$\varphi(u_i(1)) = c$$

and either $i < |A|_c$ or, otherwise, $i = |A|_c = 2$.

Let $\varphi(\ell_i(1)) = W_1(\mathcal{X})$, where $W_1(\mathcal{X})$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-word. Then

$$\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1} = W_1(x_1b_1, \dots, x_mb_m) = W_1(\mathcal{B})W_1(\mathcal{X}).$$

Also, we let $\varphi(v_i(1)) = U(\mathcal{B})V(\mathcal{X})$, where $U(\mathcal{B})$, $V(\mathcal{X})$ are $\mathcal{B}$-, $\mathcal{X}$-words, respectively.

It is convenient to denote $r(1)$ by $\ell_{|A|_c+1}(1)^{-1}$, that is, to define $\ell_{i+1}(1)$ for $i = |A|_c$. Then $\varphi(\ell_{|A|_c+1}(1)) = \varphi(\ell_1(2))$.

Considering the subdiagram $\Delta_i(1)$, we can see that

$$\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1)) = \varphi(v_i(1))^{-1}\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}\varphi(v_i(1)) = \\ = (U(\mathcal{B})V(\mathcal{X}))^{-1}W_1(\mathcal{B})W_1(\mathcal{X})U(\mathcal{B})V(\mathcal{X})$$

in the group $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$. Since $\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1)) = W_2(\mathcal{B})W_2(\mathcal{X})$ in $\mathcal{P}_{B,X} = B(\mathcal{B},n) \times B(\mathcal{X},n)$ and both $B(\mathcal{B},n)$, $B(\mathcal{X},n)$ are free Burnside groups, it follows that

$$U(\mathcal{X})^{-1}W_1(\mathcal{X})U(\mathcal{X}) = V(\mathcal{X})^{-1}W_1(\mathcal{X})V(\mathcal{X}) \tag{15}$$

in the group $B(\mathcal{X},n)$. It follows from $p_0 \neq 1$ that $W_1(\mathcal{X}) \neq 1$ in $B(\mathcal{X},n)$.

Recall that if n is odd and $n \gg 1$ (e.g., $n > 10^{10}$) then every nontrivial element of $B(\mathcal{X},n)$ is contained in a unique maximal cyclic subgroup of $B(\mathcal{X},n)$ of order n (see Theorem 19.4 [O89]) and every maximal cyclic subgroup C of $B(\mathcal{X},n)$ is *antinormal* in $B(\mathcal{X},n)$, that is, for every $g \in B(\mathcal{X},n)$ the inequality $gCg^{-1} \cap C \neq \{1\}$ implies that $g \in C$ (this is actually shown in process of the proof of Theorem 19.4 [O89]; similar arguments can also be found in [AI87], [I92a]).

Let $W_0(\mathcal{X})$ be an $\mathcal{X}$-word so that $\langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle \subseteq B(\mathcal{X},n)$ is the maximal cyclic subgroup of order n that contains $W_1(\mathcal{X})$. Since the subgroup $\langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle$ is antinormal in $B(\mathcal{X},n)$, we have from (15) that $U(\mathcal{X})V(\mathcal{X})^{-1} \in \langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle$.

Now consider the word $\varphi(\ell_i(2))$. It is easy to see that

$$\varphi(\ell_i(2)) = S(\mathcal{X})^{-1}W_1(\mathcal{X})S(\mathcal{X}),$$

where $S(\mathcal{X})$ is the product

$$\varphi(v_i(1))\dots\varphi(v_{|A|_c}(1))\varphi(v_1(2))\dots\varphi(v_{i-1}(2)) \in \mathcal{P}_{B,X}.$$

Replacing the word $\varphi(\ell_i(1)) = W_1(\mathcal{X})$ by $\varphi(\ell_i(2)) = S(\mathcal{X})^{-1}W_1(\mathcal{X})S(\mathcal{X})$ and the diagram $\Delta(1)$ by $\Delta(2)$ in the above argument, we will analogously obtain that

$$U(\mathcal{X})V(\mathcal{X})^{-1} \in S(\mathcal{X})^{-1}\langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle S(\mathcal{X}).$$

Since the maximal cyclic subgroups $S(\mathcal{X})^{-1}\langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle S(\mathcal{X})$ and $\langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle$ are either coincide or have the trivial intersection, it follows that either $U(\mathcal{X})V(\mathcal{X})^{-1} = 1$ in $B(\mathcal{X},n)$ or $S(\mathcal{X}) \in \langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle$. In the case $U(\mathcal{X})V(\mathcal{X})^{-1} = 1$, we distinguish two subcases: $i < |A|_c$ and $i = |A|_c = 2$. If $i < |A|_c$ then we have a contradiction to the minimality of $|A|_c$ because

$$\varphi(u_i(1))\varphi(v_i(1))\varphi(u_{i+1}(1)) = cU(\mathcal{B})U(\mathcal{X})c^{-1} = U(\mathcal{X})$$

in $\mathcal{H}_c$ and $|U(\mathcal{X})|_c = 0$. If $i = |A|_c = 2$ then the word $A = c^{-1}\varphi(v_1(1))c\varphi(v_2(1))$ is conjugate in the group $\mathcal{H}_c$ given by (11) to an element of $\mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ because

$$c\varphi(v_2(1)) = cU(\mathcal{B})U(\mathcal{X}) = U(\mathcal{X})c$$

and so $A = c^{-1}\varphi(v_1(1))U(\mathcal{X})c$ in $\mathcal{G}_U$, contrary to our assumption. These contradictions prove that the case $U(\mathcal{X})V(\mathcal{X})^{-1} = 1$ is impossible and so $S(\mathcal{X}) \in \langle W_0(\mathcal{X})\rangle$. Therefore,

$$\varphi(\ell_i(2)) = S(\mathcal{X})^{-1}W_1(\mathcal{X})S(\mathcal{X}) = W_1(\mathcal{X})$$

in $\mathcal{G}_U$, whence $A^{-1}p_0A = p_0$. Now we can conclude that $p_0 \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\tau_A = 1$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{F}(A)$ and the group $\langle \tau_A, A\rangle$ has exponent n, as required. Lemma 2 is proven. $\square$

By results of [I02b] and Lemma 2, the group $\mathcal{P}_{B,X} = B(\mathcal{B}, n) \times B(\mathcal{X}, n)$ naturally embeds in the quotient $\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n$. Denote the subgroup $\langle \mathcal{B}, c\rangle$ of $\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n$, generated by $\mathcal{B}$ and c, by

$$\mathcal{K}_{B,c} = \langle B, c\rangle \subset \mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n. \tag{16}$$

Observe that, in view of relations $x_i b_j x_i^{-1} = b_j,\ \ x_i c x_i^{-1} = c b_i,\ \ i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, which hold in $\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n$, the group $\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n$ is a splitting extension of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ by $B(\mathcal{X}, n)$. In particular, we can also consider the following HNN-extension of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$

$$\mathcal{H}_X = \langle \mathcal{K}_{B,c}, x_1, \dots, x_m \parallel x_i b_j x_i^{-1} = b_j, x_i c x_i^{-1} = c b_i,\ i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\rangle. \tag{17}$$

and claim that the quotient $\mathcal{H}_X/\mathcal{H}_X^n$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n$.

Lemma 3. *Every maximal cyclic subgroup of the group $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ is antinormal in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$.*

Proof. Consider a graded presentation for the group $\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n$ which, by results of [I02b] and Lemma 2, can be constructed as in [I02b]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_c/\mathcal{H}_c^n = \mathcal{H}_c(\infty) = \langle \mathcal{P}_{B,X}, c \parallel c^{-1} x_j c = x_j b_j,\ j \in \{1, \dots, m\},& \\ A^n = 1, A \in \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_i\rangle.&\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Let A be a period of rank $i \ge 1$, that is, $A \in \mathcal{X}_i$. It is easy to see from the definition of $\mathcal{X}_i$ and details of the proof of Lemma 2 that $\tau_A = 1$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{F}(A)$ (that is, A always centralizes $\mathcal{F}(A)$) and that the group $\mathcal{F}(A)$ consists of words either of the form $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ (if A starts with c; recall that, by definitions of [I02b], A starts with $c^{\pm 1}$) or of the form $F(x_1 b_1, \dots, x_m b_m)$ (if A starts with c^{-1}).

Since there is a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty) \to B(\mathcal{X}, n)$ whose kernel is $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$, it follows from the above observation that if $F \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ or $p \in \mathcal{P}_{B,X}$ is conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$ to an element of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ then $F = 1$ or $p \in B(\mathcal{B}, n)$, respectively. We will repeatedly use this remark in the arguments below.

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a subgroup of $\langle A, \mathcal{F}(A)\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$, where $A \in \mathcal{X}_i$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$ to a subgroup of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$. By the above remark, every nontrivial element of $\mathcal{S}$ has the form $A^k F$, where $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. In particular, if $A^k F \in \mathcal{S}$ and $kd \equiv 0 \pmod n$ then

$$(A^k F)^d = A^{kd} F^d = F^d = 1.$$

Similarly, if $A^{k_1} F_1, A^{k_2} F_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod n$ then $F_1 = F_2$ in $\mathcal{F}(A)$. Therefore, we can conclude that $\mathcal{S}$ is cyclic (and is generated by an element of the maximal order).

Now assume that $\langle W_0\rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$, $W_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ and

$$W_1 W_0^{\ell_1} W_1^{-1} = W_0^{\ell_2} \tag{19}$$

in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$, where $W_0^{\ell_2} \ne 1$. By Lemma 10.2 [I02b], W_0 is conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$ to either an element U_0 with $|U_0|_c = 0$ (by the above remark, $U_0 \in B(\mathcal{B}, n)$) or to $A^{k_0} F_0$, where A is a period of rank $i \ge 1$, $0 < k_0 < n$, and $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(A)$.

Conjugating, we may assume that $W_0 = U_0$ or $W_0 = A^{k_0} F_0$ as above (and $\langle W_0, W_1\rangle$ is conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$ to a subgroup of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$). Consider a disk diagram Δ for equality (19) and let Δ_0 be an annular diagram obtained from Δ by identifying sections of the contour of Δ labelled by W_1 and W_1^{-1}. Since contours of Δ_0 are labelled by $W_0^{\ell_1} = U_0^{\ell_1}$ or $W_0^{\ell_1} = A^{k_0 \ell_1} F_0^{\ell_1}$ and $W_0^{-\ell_2} = U_0^{-\ell_2}$ or $W_0^{-\ell_2} = A^{-k_0 \ell_2} F_0^{-\ell_2}$, it follows that every c-annulus in Δ_0 will be contractible. Otherwise, as in the above remark, a word of the form $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ or $F(x_1b_1, \dots, x_mb_m)$ would be conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$ to $W_0^{\ell_1}$, whence $W_0^{\ell_1} = 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence, we can bring Δ_0 to a reduced form Δ_1 by removal of reducible pairs and reducible c-annuli. (Recall that n is odd and so there are no self-compatible 2-cells, see [I02b].)

Hence, there is a path in Δ_1 (like in Δ_0) which connects vertices on distinct contours of Δ_1 and has label equal in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$ to W_1. Now we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 10.2 [I94] to show that if $W_0 = A^{k_0}F_0$ then $W_1 = A^{k_1}F_1$ in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$, where $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and if $W_0 = U_0$ then $W_1 = U_1$ in $\mathcal{H}_c(\infty)$, where $U_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{B,X}$, and $U_1U_0^{\ell_1}U_1^{-1} = U_0^{\ell_2}$ in $B(\mathcal{B}, n)$.

In the latter case $W_0 = U_0$, we note that $U_1 \in B(\mathcal{B}, n)$ by the above remark and, by the antinormality of maximal cyclic subgroups of $B(\mathcal{B}, n)$ (see the proof of Lemma 2), we have that the subgroup $\langle U_0, U_1\rangle$ is cyclic. Now the required inclusion $U_1 \in \langle U_0\rangle$ follows from the original maximality of $\langle U_0\rangle$ in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$.

In the former case $W_0 = A^{k_0}F_0$, the subgroup $\langle A^{k_0}F_0, A^{k_1}F_1\rangle \subseteq \langle A, \mathcal{F}(A)\rangle$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ and, by the above remark, is cyclic. As before, it follows from the original maximality of $\langle W_0\rangle$ in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ that $A^{k_0}F_0$ is a generator of $\langle A^{k_0}F_0, A^{k_1}F_1\rangle$. Hence, we have again that $W_1 \in \langle W_0\rangle$, as required. The proof of Lemma 3 is complete. ☐

Replacing the letters b_i, x_i, c in the above construction by $\bar b_i$, $\bar x_i$, $\bar c$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, we analogously to (13), (16), (17) construct groups $\mathcal{H}_{\bar c}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\bar X}$, respectively.

Consider an HNN-extension $\mathcal{G}_{X,\bar X}$ of the direct product $\mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}$ with stable letters $x_1, \bar x_1, \dots, x_m, \bar x_m$ defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X} = \langle \mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}, x_1, \bar x_1, \dots, x_m, \bar x_m \parallel x_ib_jx_i^{-1} = b_j, \bar x_i\bar b_j\bar x_i^{-1} = \bar b_j,\\ x_icx_i^{-1} = cb_i, \bar x_i\bar c\bar x_i^{-1} = \bar c\bar b_i,\ i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\rangle. \qquad (20)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *Property* (*E*) *holds for the group* $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}$*, given by* (20)*, with* $N_E = 1$*.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}$, A not conjugate to an element $p \in \mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}$, and $A^{-1}p_0A = p_1$, where $p_0, p_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}$. We may assume that if $A = B$ in $\mathcal{G}_{X,\bar X}$ then $|A|_{\mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}} \le |B|_{\mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}}$ ($|A|_{\mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}}$ is the $\mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}$-length of A, that is, the number of all occurrences of $x^{\pm1}$ where $x \in \mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}$) and that A starts with $x^{\pm1}$, where $x \in \mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}$.

Considering a diagram Δ over the group $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}$ with $\partial\Delta = \ell urd$, where

$$\varphi(\ell) = p_0,\ \varphi(u) = A,\ \varphi(r) = p_1^{-1},\ \varphi(d) = A^{-1},$$

and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3, we can show that all $\mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}$-letters of A are either in $\mathcal{X}^{\pm1}$ or in $\bar{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm1}$ (otherwise, $p_0 = 1$). For definiteness, assume that all $\mathcal{X}\cup\bar{\mathcal{X}}$-letters of A are in $\mathcal{X}^{\pm1}$ (the other case is symmetric). Then it is clear that $\mathcal{F}(A) = \mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ and $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{B,c}$. Let $\widetilde A$ denote the image of A under the projection $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X} \to \mathcal{H}_X$, where $\mathcal{H}_X$ is given by presentation (17), which erases all letters $\bar x_j$, $\bar b_j$, $\bar c$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Note that A acts on $\mathcal{F}(A)$ by conjugations as the word $\widetilde A$ does. Therefore, the group $\langle \tau_A, \mathcal{F}(A)\rangle$ is a homomorphic image of the subgroup $\langle \widetilde A, \mathcal{F}(A)\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{H}_X/\mathcal{H}_X^n$ (recall that $\mathcal{F}(A) = \mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ embeds in $\mathcal{H}_X/\mathcal{H}_X^n$). Thus, the group $\langle \tau_A, \mathcal{F}(A)\rangle$ has exponent n and so property (E) holds for A with $N_E = 1$. Lemma 4 is proved. ☐

Making the substitution $d_i \to b_i,\ y_i \to x_i,\ e \to c,\ \bar d_i \to \bar b_i,\ \bar y_i \to \bar x_i,\ \bar e \to \bar c$, we construct groups

$$\mathcal{H}_e,\ \mathcal{H}_{\bar e},\ \mathcal{K}_{D,e},\ \mathcal{K}_{\bar D,\bar e},\ \mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar Y}$$

which are complete (d, y, e)-analogs of corresponding (b, x, c)-groups. In particular, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}} = \langle \mathcal{K}_{D,e} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{D},\bar{e}}, y_1, \bar{y}_1, \dots, y_m, \bar{y}_m \parallel y_i d_j y_i^{-1} = d_j, \bar{y}_i \bar{d}_j \bar{y}_i^{-1} = \bar{d}_j,$$
$$y_i e y_i^{-1} = e b_i, \bar{y}_i \bar{e} \bar{y}_i^{-1} = \bar{e} \bar{d}_i,\ i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}\rangle. \quad (21)$$

Observe that the subgroup $\langle b_1\bar{b}_1, \dots, b_m\bar{b}_m, c\bar{c}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{B},\bar{c}}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ and the subgroup $\langle d_1\bar{d}_1 a_1, \dots, d_m\bar{d}_m a_m, e\bar{e}\rangle$ of $\mathcal{K}_{D,e} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{D},\bar{e}} \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n$ is also naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{D,e}$ (which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$), because the subgroup $\langle d_1\bar{d}_1, \dots, d_m\bar{d}_m\rangle$ of $\mathcal{K}_{D,e} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{D},\bar{e}}$ is naturally isomorphic to the free Burnside group $B(\mathcal{D}, n)$ and so for every $\mathcal{A}$-word W an inequality $W(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 1$ in $\mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n$ implies that $W(d_1\bar{d}_1, \dots, d_m\bar{d}_m) \neq 1$ in $\mathcal{K}_{D,e} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{D},\bar{e}}$. Therefore, the map

$$b_1\bar{b}_1 \to d_1\bar{d}_1 a_1,\ \dots,\ b_m\bar{b}_m \to d_m\bar{d}_m a_m,\quad c\bar{c} \to d\bar{d}$$

extends to an isomorphism of corresponding subgroups of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{B},\bar{c}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{D,e} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{D},\bar{e}} \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n$, where the group $\mathcal{G}_A$ is defined by (2).

Consider the free Burnside n-product

$$\mathcal{Q} = (\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}/\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}^n) *_n (\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}/\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}^n \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n) \quad (22)$$

of the groups $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}/\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}^n$ and $\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}/\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}^n \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n$ (which is the quotient F_P/F_P^n of their free product $F_P = (\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}/\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}^n) * (\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}/\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}^n \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n)$ by F_P^n). Next, in view of the isomorphism pointed out above, we can define an HNN-extension $\mathcal{H}_z$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_z = \langle \mathcal{Q}, z \parallel z b_i\bar{b}_i z^{-1} = d_i\bar{d}_i a_i,\ z c\bar{c} z^{-1} = e\bar{e},\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}\rangle. \quad (23)$$

Lemma 5. *Property* (E) *holds for the group* $\mathcal{H}_z$*, given by* (23)*, with* $N_E = 3$.

Proof. This proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 2. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{H}_z$, A is not conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_z$ to $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $A^{-1}p_{j-1}A = p_j$, where $p_{j-1}, p_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$, $p_0 \neq 1$. Our goal is to show that $p_0 \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and the subgroup $\langle \tau_A, \mathcal{F}(A)\rangle$ has exponent n.

As in the proof of Lemma 2, we can assume that A is a reduced in $\mathcal{H}_z$ word, that is, if $A = B$ in $\mathcal{H}_z$ then $|A|_z \leq |B|_z$, where $|A|_z$ is the *z-length* of the word A. Conjugating if necessary, we can also assume that A starts with $z^{\pm 1}$.

As in the proof of Lemma 2, we consider reduced diagrams $\Delta(j)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, over $\mathcal{H}_z$ and introduce the notation related to these diagrams and their z-bonds exactly as in that proof. As before, if for some $i < |A|_z$ we had that $\varphi(u_i(1)) = \varphi(u_{i+1}(1))$ then, by the definition of presentation (23) of $\mathcal{H}_z$, we would have that one of words $\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}$, $\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1))$ would be a word in the alphabet $\{b_1\bar{b}_1, \dots, b_m\bar{b}_m, c\bar{c}\}^{\pm 1}$ and the other one would be in the alphabet $\{d_1\bar{d}_1 a_1, \dots, d_m\bar{d}_m a_m, e\bar{e}\}^{\pm 1}$. However, these words are conjugate in $\mathcal{Q}$ and so they must represent the trivial element of $\mathcal{Q}$, contrary to $p_0 \neq 1$. By a similar argument, we can conclude that $\varphi(u_{|A|_z}(1)) = \varphi(u_1(1))^{-1}$. In particular, as in the proof of Lemma 2, this implies that $|A|_z > 1$ and there is an $i \in \{1, \dots, |A|_z\}$ such that

$$\varphi(u_i(1)) = z$$

and either $i < |A|_z$ or, otherwise, $i = |A|_z = 2$. Let

$$\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1} = W_1(b_1\bar{b}_1, \dots, b_m\bar{b}_m, c\bar{c}).$$

As in before, it is convenient to denote $r(1)$ by $\ell_{|A|_c+1}(1))^{-1}$, that is, to define $\ell_{i+1}(1)$ for $i = |A|_z$. Then $\varphi(\ell_{|A|_z+1}(1)) = \varphi(\ell_1(2))$.

Recall that factors F_1, F_2 of a free Burnside n-product $F_P/F_P^n = F_1 *_n F_2$ of odd exponent $n \gg 1$ (say, $n > 10^{10}$), where $F_P = F_1 * F_2$ is the free product, are antinormal in F_P/F_P^n (e.g., see Lemma 34.10 [O89]). Since

$$\varphi(v_i(1))\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1))\varphi(v_i(1))^{-1} = \varphi(r_i(1))^{-1} \neq 1 \tag{24}$$

and $\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1))$, $\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}$ are in $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}/\mathcal{H}^n_{X,\bar X}$, it follows that $\varphi(v_i(1))$ is also in $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}/\mathcal{H}^n_{X,\bar X}$. Hence, we may suppose that $\varphi(v_i(1))$ is a word in the alphabet

$$\mathcal{B}^{\pm 1} \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{\pm 1} \cup \{c^{\pm 1}, \bar c^{\pm 1}\} \cup \mathcal{X}^{\pm 1} \cup \bar{\mathcal{X}}^{\pm 1}.$$

Consider a graded presentation for the group $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}/\mathcal{H}^n_{X,\bar X}$ which, by results of [I02b] and Lemma 4, can be constructed as in [I02b]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}/\mathcal{H}^n_{X,\bar X} = \mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}(\infty) = \langle\, \mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}, x_1, \bar x_1, \dots, x_m, \bar x_m \parallel x_j b_k x_j^{-1} = b_k,\\ \bar x_j \bar b_k \bar x_j^{-1} = \bar b_k,\ x_j c x_j^{-1} = c b_j,\ \bar x_j \bar c \bar x_j^{-1} = \bar c \bar b_j,\ j,k \in \{1,\dots,m\},\\ A^n = 1, A \in \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_i \,\rangle. \quad (25)\end{aligned}$$

Let Δ be a disk diagram over the presentation (25) for the equality (24) and Δ_0 be an annular diagram obtained from Δ by identifying sections of the contour of Δ labelled by $\varphi(v_i(1))$ and $\varphi(v_i(1))^{-1}$. Since contours of Δ_0 are labelled by $W_1(b_1\bar b_1, \dots, b_m \bar b_m, c\bar c)$ and

$$\varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1))^{-1} = W_2(b_1\bar b_1, \dots, b_m\bar b_m, c\bar c),$$

it follows that every x- or $\bar x$-annulus will be contractible (otherwise, a word of the form $F(b_1,\dots,b_m,c)$ or $F(\bar b_1,\dots,\bar b_m,\bar c)$ would be conjugate in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}$ to $W_1(b_1\bar b_1,\dots,b_m\bar b_m,c\bar c)$ or to $W_2(b_1\bar b_1,\dots,b_m\bar b_m,c\bar c)^{-1}$, whence $p_0 = 1$). This observation (together with a standard argument as in the proof of Lemma 3) enables us to conclude that if $\varphi(u_i(1)) = z$ then

$$\varphi(v_i(1)) \in \mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar B,\bar c}. \tag{26}$$

Now suppose that

$$\varphi(u_i(1)) = z^{-1}$$

for some $i \in \{1,\dots,|A|_z\}$. Then $\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}$, $\ell_{i+1}(1)$ are words in the alphabet $\{d_1\bar d_1 a_1, \dots, d_m \bar d_m a_m, e\bar e\}^{\pm 1}$ and, in the above arguments, we have to replace the group $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar X}/\mathcal{H}^n_{X,\bar X}$ by $(\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar Y}/\mathcal{H}^n_{Y,\bar Y}) \times (\mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n)$. However, if we disregard $\mathcal{G}_A$-components of elements of the direct product $(\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar Y}/\mathcal{H}^n_{Y,\bar Y}) \times (\mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n)$ then our arguments are retained and so we can conclude that if $\varphi(u_i(1)) = z^{-1}$ then

$$\varphi(v_i(1)) \in \mathcal{K}_{D,e} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar D,\bar e} \times \mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n. \tag{27}$$

Now suppose again that $\varphi(u_i(1)) = z$ for some $i \in \{1,\dots,|A|_z\}$ (and either $i < |A|_z$ or $i = |A|_z = 2$). Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1} &= W_1(b_1\bar b_1,\dots,b_m\bar b_m,c\bar c) = W_1\bar W_1,\\ \varphi(\ell_{i+1}(1)) &= W_2(b_1\bar b_1,\dots,b_m\bar b_m,c\bar c) = W_2\bar W_2,\\ \varphi(v_i(1)) &= U(b_1,\dots,b_m,c)V(\bar b_1,\dots,\bar b_m,\bar c) = U\bar V,\end{aligned}$$

where $W_1 = W_1(b_1,\dots,b_m,c)$, $\bar W_1 = W_1(\bar b_1,\dots,\bar b_m,\bar c)$ and so on. Clearly,

$$U^{-1}W_1U = W_2, \quad \bar V^{-1}\bar W_1\bar V = \bar W_2, \quad V^{-1}W_1V = W_2,$$

whence

$$VU^{-1}W_1UV^{-1} = W_1 \tag{28}$$

in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$. Let the word

$$P(\mathcal{B}, c, \bar{\mathcal{B}}, \bar{c}) = P_1(b_1, \dots, b_m, c)P_2(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m, \bar{c}) = P_1\bar{P}_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{B},\bar{c}}$$

be obtained from the cyclic permutation $\bar{A}$ of $A = \varphi(u(1))$ that starts with $\varphi(u_i(1))$ by deletion of all letters $z^{\pm1}$, $a_j^{\pm1}\}$ and by replacing the letters d_j, $\bar{d}_j$, e, $\bar{e}$ by b_j, $\bar{b}_j$, c, $\bar{c}$, respectively, where $j = 1, \dots, m$. It is not difficult to see from inclusions (26)–(27) that $\varphi(r_i(2))^{-1} = P^{-1}\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}P$ in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{B},\bar{c}}$. Hence, we have in the group $\mathcal{K}_{B,c} \times \mathcal{K}_{\bar{B},\bar{c}}$ that

$$\varphi(r_i(2))^{-1} = P^{-1}\varphi(r_i(1))^{-1}P = P_1^{-1}W_1P_1\bar{P}_2^{-1}\bar{W}_1\bar{P}_2 = P_1^{-1}W_1P_1\bar{P}_1^{-1}\bar{W}_1\bar{P}_1.$$

Repeating the above argument for $\varphi(r_i(2))$, $\Delta(2)$, we analogously to (28) obtain that

$$VU^{-1}P_1^{-1}W_1P_1UV^{-1} = P_1^{-1}W_1P_1 \tag{29}$$

in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$. Let $\langle W_0 \rangle$ be a maximal cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ that contains $W_1 \neq 1$. By Lemma 3, it follows from (28)–(29) that $VU^{-1} \in \langle W_0 \rangle$ and $VU^{-1} \in P_1^{-1}\langle W_0 \rangle P_1$. By Lemma 3, this implies that either $VU^{-1} = 1$ in $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$ or $\langle W_0 \rangle = P_1\langle W_0 \rangle P_1^{-1}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2, the equality $VU^{-1} = 1$ contradicts either the minimality of $|A|_z$ when $i < |A|_z$ or the assumption that A is not conjugate to an element $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ when $i = |A|_z = 2$. Therefore, $\langle W_0 \rangle = P_1^{-1}\langle W_0 \rangle P_1$ and, by Lemma 3, $P_1 \in \langle W_0 \rangle$. Now we can conclude that $A^{-1}p_0A = p_0$, $p_0 \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\tau_A = 1$ and $\langle \tau_A, \mathcal{F}(A) \rangle$ has exponent n. The proof of Lemma 5 is complete. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1–3

Proof of Theorem 1. Observe that, by definition of the presentation (23) for $\mathcal{H}_z$, there is a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_U \to \mathcal{H}_z$. Indeed, defining relations (9) of $\mathcal{G}_U$ hold in $\mathcal{H}_z$ given by (23), relations (8) of $\mathcal{G}_U$ hold in $\mathcal{Q}$ given by (22), relations (3), (4), (6), (7) of $\mathcal{G}_U$ hold in groups $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}$ given by (20), (21) and relations (5) of $\mathcal{G}_U$ hold in groups $\mathcal{K}_{B,c}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{B},\bar{c}}$, $\mathcal{K}_{D,e}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{D},\bar{e}}$ (see (16)).

Furthermore, note that defining relations of the group $\mathcal{H}_z$ are (3)–(9) and all other defining relators of $\mathcal{H}_z$ are words in $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_U \cdot F(\mathcal{U})^n$, where $\alpha_U : F(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{G}_U$ is the natural homomorphism (because this is the case for groups $\mathcal{Q}$, $\mathcal{H}_{X,\bar{X}}$, $\mathcal{H}_{Y,\bar{Y}}$). Consequently, we may conclude that the groups $\mathcal{G}_U/\mathcal{G}_U^n$ and $\mathcal{H}_z/\mathcal{H}_z^n$ are naturally isomorphic. By Lemma 5 and results of [I02b], the group $\mathcal{Q}$ and hence $\mathcal{G}_A/\mathcal{G}_A^n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ both naturally embed in the quotient $\mathcal{H}_z/\mathcal{H}_z^n = \mathcal{G}_U/\mathcal{G}_U^n$.

Let $n > 2^{48}$ be odd and

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \langle \mathcal{A} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_{A,0} \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{A,0} = F(\mathcal{A})^n$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = F(\mathcal{A})/F(\mathcal{A})^n = B(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is the free Burnside group of exponent n in $\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 1, the group $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ embeds in $\mathcal{G}_U = \mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})$ given by (10) and, by Lemma 5 and results of [I02b], $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ also naturally embeds in $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{A,0}$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,1} = \mathcal{G}_{A,0}/\mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{A} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_{A,1} \rangle$$

be a presentation for the quotient $\mathcal{G}_{A,1} = \mathcal{G}_{A,0}/\mathcal{K}$. Consider another group $\mathcal{G}_U = \mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})$ defined by presentation (10) in which we now use the group $\mathcal{G}_{A,1}$. By

Lemma 1, results of [I02b] and Lemma 5, the group $\mathcal{G}_{A,1} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ naturally embeds in both $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})$ and $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})^n$. On the other hand, it is immediate from definitions that the group $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle^{\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})}$. Hence, $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle^{\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})} \cap \mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \mathcal{K}$ for an arbitrary normal subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{A,0}$ and so $\mathcal{G}_{A,0}$ is a Q-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})$.

Analogously, the group $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})^n$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient

$$(\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n)/\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle^{\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n}$$

and, therefore, in view of the natural embedding $\mathcal{G}_{A,1} \to \mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,1})^n$, we have that $\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle^{\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n} \cap \mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \mathcal{K}$ and so $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = B(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is a Q-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n$ as well.

Thus, $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \to \mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})$ is a required embedding and Theorem 1 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1, let

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = \langle \mathcal{A} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_{A,0} \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{A,0} = F(\mathcal{A})^n$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = B(\mathcal{A}, n)$. Let a verbal subgroup $\mathcal{V}(B(2,n))$ of $B(2,n)$ be nontrivial. By results of [I02c], we can pick $|\mathcal{U}| + 2$ $\mathcal{A}$-words $V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|}$, $V_{|\mathcal{U}|+1}$, $V_{|\mathcal{U}|+2}$ in the verbal subgroup $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}_{A,0}) \neq \{1\}$ which generate a Q-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{A,0} = B(\mathcal{A}, n)$ naturally isomorphic to a free Burnside group $B(|\mathcal{U}| + 2, n)$ of rank $|\mathcal{U}| + 2$ and exponent n. Note that the property of being a Q-subgroup is obviously transitive. Hence, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\langle V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|} \rangle$ is a Q-subgroup of both $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})$ and $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n$.

Let $(u_1, \dots, u_{|\mathcal{U}|})$ be an ordering of all letters of the alphabet $\mathcal{U}$ given by (1) so that $u_1 = a_1, \dots, u_m = a_m$. By induction on $i \geq 1$, define the group $\mathcal{G}_{A,i}$ by presentation

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,i} = \langle \mathcal{A} \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_{A,i} \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{A,i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{A,i}$ and the set difference $\mathcal{R}_{A,i} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{A,i-1}$ consists of all words of the form $W(V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|})$ such that $W(u_1, \dots, u_{|\mathcal{U}|}) = 1$ in the group $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,i-1})$ given by (10) with $\mathcal{G}_A = \mathcal{G}_{A,i-1}$, $i \geq 1$.

It follows from definitions that a group $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_A)$, defined by (10), can be given by defining relations (3)–(9) and defining relations (modulo $F(\mathcal{A})^n$) of $\mathcal{G}_A$. Therefore, without altering the groups $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,i})$, we can change sets $\mathcal{R}_{A,i}$ by $\mathcal{R}'_{A,i}$ so that $\mathcal{R}'_{A,0}$ is empty, $\mathcal{R}'_{A,1}$ contains only relators corresponding to relations (3)–(9), and $\mathcal{R}'_{A,i} \setminus \mathcal{R}'_{A,i-1}$, when $i > 1$, consists of words of the form $W(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ such that $W(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 1$ in $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,i-1})$ or in $\mathcal{G}_{A,i-1}$ (for $\mathcal{G}_{A,i-1}$ naturally embeds in $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,i-1})$ by Lemma 1).

Consider the limit group

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty} = \langle \mathcal{A} \parallel R = 1, R \in \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}'_{A,i} \rangle.$$

It follows from definitions that if $W(u_1, \dots, u_{|\mathcal{U}|}) = 1$ in the group $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})$, defined by (10) with $\mathcal{G}_A = \mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}$, then $W(V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|}) = 1$ in $\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}$. Hence, the map $u_j \to V_j$, $j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{U}|$, extends to a homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}) \to \mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}$. By Theorem 1, the group $\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}$ naturally embeds in $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})^n$. On the other hand, it is easy to see from the definition of defining relations of $\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}$ that the map $u_j \to V_j$, $j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{U}|$, extends to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})^n \to \langle V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{A,\infty}.$$

Hence, we can consider the following HNN-extension of $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})^n$:

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \langle \mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})^n,\ t \parallel t u_j t^{-1} = V_j,\ j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{U}| \rangle. \tag{30}$$

Recall that the set $\cup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}'_{A,i}$ consists of words in $\{V_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|}^{\pm 1}\}$ and, by Theorem 1, $\langle V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|+2} \rangle$ is a Q-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})^n$ isomorphic to $B(|\mathcal{U}|+2, n)$. This implies that the subgroup $\langle V_{|\mathcal{U}|+1}, V_{|\mathcal{U}|+2} \rangle$ of the quotient $\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})/\mathcal{G}_U(\mathcal{G}_{A,\infty})^n$ is isomorphic to $B(2,n)$. Observe that any word in $\mathcal{U}^{\pm 1} \cup t^{\pm 1}$ with 0 sum of exponents on t is equal in $\mathcal{H}_t$ to a word of the form $t^{-k} W(\mathcal{U}) t^k$ with $k \ge 0$. Hence, the kernel $\mathcal{K}_t$ of the epimorphism $\psi_t : \mathcal{K}_t \to \langle t \rangle_\infty$, given by $\psi_t(t) = t$, $\psi_t(u) = 1$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, is a group of exponent n which contains a subgroup isomorphic to $B(2,n)$. Since $V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|}$ are in the verbal subgroup $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}_{A,0})$ and every element of $\mathcal{H}_t$ is conjugate to a word of the form $W(V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|})$, it follows that $\mathcal{K}_t = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{K}_t)$.

Now we consider the following finite presentation

$$\mathcal{G}_{T2} = \langle \mathcal{U},\ t \parallel (3)-(9),\ t u_j t^{-1} = V_j,\ j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{U}| \rangle \tag{31}$$

(recall that $V_1, V_2, \dots$ are $\mathcal{A}$-words) and observe that, by definitions and an obvious induction on $i \ge 0$, we have that if $R \in \mathcal{R}'_{A,i}$ then $R = 1$ in $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$. Hence, $R = 1$ in $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ for every $R \in \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}'_{A,i}$. By Lemma 1, relations (3)–(9) guarantee that the subgroup $\langle V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{U}|} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ has exponent n and so the subgroup $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ also has exponent n. Now we can see that all defining relations of the group $\mathcal{H}_t$ given by (30) hold in $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$. On the other hand, it is obvious that all defining relations of $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ hold in $\mathcal{H}_t$. Thus, the groups $\mathcal{G}_{T2}$ and $\mathcal{H}_t$ are naturally isomorphic and the groups $\mathcal{G}_{T2} = \mathcal{H}_t$, $\mathcal{K}_{T2} = \mathcal{K}_t$ have all desired properties. Theorem 2 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 3. This is similar to the proof of Theorem B [I02a]. Let $n > 2^{48}$ be odd, $\mathcal{A}(0)$ be an alphabet with $|\mathcal{A}(0)| > 1$ and $G(0) = \langle \mathcal{A}(0) \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}(0) \rangle$, where $\mathcal{R}(0)$ is empty, so that $G(0) = F(\mathcal{A}(0))$ is the free group in $\mathcal{A}(0)$. By induction on $i \ge 1$, we will construct the alphabet $\mathcal{A}(i)$, the relator set $\mathcal{R}(i)$, and the group $G(i) = \langle \mathcal{A}(i) \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}(i) \rangle$. To do this, we apply construction of presentation (10) with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(i-1)$, $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R}(i-1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_A = G(i-1)$. Then $\mathcal{A}(i) = \mathcal{U}$,

$$G(i) = \langle \mathcal{A}(i) \parallel (3)-(9),\ R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R}(i-1) \rangle$$

and the set $\mathcal{R}(i)$ consists of all words R, where $R = 1$ are rewritten in this form relations of $G(i)$. By induction and Theorem 1, the groups $G(0)/G(0)^n = B(\mathcal{A}(0), n)$, $\dots$, $G(i-1)/G(i-1)^n$ are all naturally embed in $G(i)$. Therefore, the group

$$\mathcal{G}_{T3} = G(\infty) = \langle \mathcal{A}(\infty) = \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}(i) \parallel R = 1,\ R \in \mathcal{R}(\infty) = \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(i) \rangle$$

is a group of exponent n such that all groups

$$G(0)/G(0)^n = B(\mathcal{A}(0), n), \dots,\quad G(i)/G(i)^n, \dots$$

are naturally embed in $\mathcal{G}_{T3} = G(\infty)$. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{T3}$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $B(2,n) \subseteq B(\mathcal{A}(0), n)$ and if $W_1, \dots, W_k$ are some $\mathcal{A}(\infty)$-words, say $\mathcal{A}(j)$-words, $j \ge 0$, then the subgroup $\langle W_1, \dots, W_k \rangle$ of $\mathcal{G}_{T3}$ is naturally isomorphic to the subgroup $\langle W_1, \dots, W_k \rangle$ of finite subpresentation

$$G(j+1) = \langle \mathcal{A}(j+1) \parallel R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}(j+1) \rangle,$$

of $\mathcal{G}_{T3}$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_{T3}$ is a weakly finitely presented group of exponent n which contains a subgroup isomorphic to $B(2,n)$. Theorem 3 is proved. □

References

[A75] S.I. Adian, *The Burnside problem and identities in groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1975; English translation: Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[A82] S.I. Adian, *Random walks on free periodic groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **46**(1982), 1139–1149.

[AI87] V.S. Atabekian and S.V. Ivanov, *Two remarks on groups of bounded exponent*, # 2243-B87, VINITI, Moscow, 1987 (this is kept in Depot of VINITI, Moscow, and is available upon request), 23pp. (in Russian)

[BT24] S. Banach and A. Tarski, *Sur la décomposition des ensembles de points en parties respectivement congruentes*, Fund. Math. **6**(1924), 244–277.

[C82] J.M. Cohen, *Cogrowth and amenability of discrete groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **48**(1982), 301–309.

[D57] M.M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **1**(1957), 509–544.

[G98] R.I. Grigorchuk, *An example of a finitely presented of amenable group that does not belong to the class EG*, Mat. Sbornik **189**(1998), 79–100.

[Hs14] F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, Leipzig, 1914.

[H61] G. Higman, *Subgroups of finitely presented groups*, Proc. Royal Soc. London Ser. A **262**(1961), 455–475.

[HNN49] G. Higman, B.H. Neumann and H. Neumann, *Embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **24**(1949), 247–254.

[I92a] S.V. Ivanov, *Strictly verbal products of groups and a problem of Mal'tcev on operations on groups*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **54**(1992), 217–249.

[I92b] S.V. Ivanov, *On the Burnside problem on periodic groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **27**(1992), 257–260.

[I94] S.V. Ivanov, *The free Burnside groups of sufficiently large exponents*, Internat. J. Algebra Comp. **4**(1994), 1–308.

[I98] S.V. Ivanov, *On the Burnside problem for groups of even exponent*, Documenta Mathematica, Extra Volume ICM-98 **II**(1998), 67–76.

[I02a] S.V. Ivanov, *Weakly finitely presented infinite periodic groups*, Contemporary Math. **296**(2002), 139–154.

[I02b] S.V. Ivanov, *On HNN-extensions in the class of groups of large odd exponent*, preprint; `http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0210190`.

[I02c] S.V. Ivanov, *On subgroups of free Burnside groups of large odd exponent*, Illinois Math. J., to appear; `http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0210191`.

[KN82] *Kourovka Notebook: Unsolved problems in group theory*, 8th ed., Novosibirsk, 1982.

[N29] von J. Neumann, *Zur allgemeinen theorie des masses*, Fund. Math. **13**(1929), 73–116.

[O80] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *On the question of the existence of an invariant mean on a group*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35**(1980), 199–200.

[O82] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *On the Novikov-Adian theorem*, Mat. Sbornik **118**(1982), 203–235.

[O89] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Geometry of Defining Relations in Groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989; English translation: *Math. and Its Applications, Soviet series*, vol. 70, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991.

[OS01] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii and M.V. Sapir, *Non-amenable finitely presented torsion-by-cyclic groups*, Electron. Res. Announc. AMS **7**(2001), 63–71.

[OS02] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii and M.V. Sapir, *Non-amenable finitely presented torsion-by-cyclic groups*, Publ. IHES **96**(2002), to appear; `http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0208237`

[SBR97] M.V. Sapir, J.C. Birget and E. Rips, *Isoperimetric and isodiametric functions of groups*, Annals of Math. **157**(2002), 1–122.

[S60] W. Specht, *Zur theorie der messbaren gruppen*, Math. Z. **74**(1960), 325–366.

[T72] J. Tits, *Free subgroups in linear groups*, J. Algebra **20**(1972), 250–270.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `ivanov@math.uiuc.edu`